

Date: Fri, 6 May 94 04:30:18 PDT
From: Ham-Digital Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-digital@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Digital-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Digital Digest V94 #139
To: Ham-Digital

Ham-Digital Digest Fri, 6 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 139

Today's Topics:

[Q] your opinion for BEST HF PacTOR rig ?
 HAM-server oops
 Telnet from KA9Q-NOS
 wanted KPC-4

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Digital-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Digital Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-digital".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Thu, 5 May 1994 14:26:24 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!uchinews!
kimbark!khopper@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: [Q] your opinion for BEST HF PacTOR rig ?
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

I saved a few \$\$\$. Currently using a Ten Tec Omni-V and looking
for an upgrade to a new rig. {I don't hear anything about a new
OMNI-7 ?? did anyone pick up on any rumors at Dayton ?}

What do you recommend for HF PacTOR ? something that will grow
with new PacTOR modes (PSK ?), run 300 baud G-TOR and have sufficient
audio bandpass, filters selection etc ?.

I see that only the Yaesu has a "RTTY" and "Packet" connector on
the back of the rig. But since most of PacTOR is/will be LSB and
not use the FSK capabilities of the rig - is there an advantage ?

Any suggestions welcomed.

TNX,

Ken Hopper,		-----	
November 9 Vivid Video		o o _/_ o o	
HF - CW,PacTOR,RTTY,SSTV		o o @ o o	
khopper@midway.uchicago.edu		-----	

Date: Wed, 4 May 1994 12:15:16 -0600
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!psgrain!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!alberta!ve6mgs!
usenet@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: HAM-server oops
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

I placed a larger HD online to handle requests from HAM-server. In partitioning, I accidentally placed the database pointers off in limbo. So...for the past few days, those of you ordering files have received nothing. My apologies.

Well...it's fixed now (I hope), so if you have ordered and received nothing, try it again.

73 de KA6ETB

--
harding@orygun.nas.nasa.gov steveh@grafex.sbay.org
Send email to HAM-server@GRAFex.sbay.org with HELP as text for amateur
radio related info.

I'm a card carrying S.N.O.B. and proud of it!

Date: Wed, 4 May 1994 08:30:57 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!mvb.saic.com!news.cerf.net!usc!nic-nac.CSU.net!
charnel.ecst.csuchico.edu!psgrain!ticsa.com!ucthpx!uctvax.uct.ac.za!
thebox.ee.ctech.ac.za!Imraanm@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Telnet from KA9Q-NOS
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

Hi All,

I am currently running KA9Q NOS version 930507 compiled for 386/486
copyright 1992. I think I pulled it of oak.oakland.edu .

When you telnet into the system you get the mailbox with various facilities
like telnet.

So when you use this telnet function to telnet to another host on the internet network, it get as far as the intro messages before the login prompt and just before you get the login prompt, the remote system disconnects you.....help!

But if you telnet to a mail port (port 25) it connects fine and let you send mail manually.

Please can anybody perhaps help?

Send all replies to jakes@maxwell.ctech.ac.za and I will then post it back into this newsgroup.

Thanks

JAKES

```
-----
| J. du Toit          /// | E-mail: jakes@maxwell.ctech.ac.za |
| Electrical Engineering 00 | HAM: ZR1ADG |
| Cape Technikon      (   | Tel: +27 21 4603083 (w) |
| Cape Town           -   | Fax: +27 21 451698 |
| South-Africa        |
|
-----
```

```
-----
Date: Thu, 5 May 94 05:52:11 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!
usenet@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: wanted KPC-4
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu
```

Greg Wood <gwood@robins.af.mil> writes:

```
>
>Wanted KPC-4 so i can put up a 6mtr gateway in central Ga.
>please let me know you price i'm sure we can work things out
>73's KC4YBL
>
```

I have a KPC-4 for sale. Asking \$200, its new in the box.

```
-----
Date: Thu, 5 May 1994 03:21:32 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
rogjd@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu
```

References <rogjdCp4GF9.xJ@netcom.com>, <pineappCp4yAo.5Ft@netcom.com>,
<2q8beo\$pu1@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>
Subject : Re: GTOR for PK232

Thanks for the info Drew; was very interesting. The main thing that seems to characterize a comparison between the two modes is the apparent fact that G-TOR doesn't require a significant upgrading of hardware, whereas Clover by nature of being a four-tone AFSK mode, does. If GTOR is within shouting distance of Clover with respect to performance, , it may be that many amateurs will prefer to go that rout. Time will tell, of course.

Thanks again for the interesting info.

73

--

rogjd@netcom.com
Glendale, CA
AB6WR

Date: 6 May 94 04:36:28 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!
usenet@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

References <2pjvll\$7l5@crn1.unl.edu>, <2q6e92\$kbk@hermes.acs.ryerson.ca>,
<1994May4.100155.15200@dxcern.cern.ch>
Subject : Re: PacketRadio forLinux with Baycom ??

I have asked the same question except for my 3B2 UNIX box and EVERYONE says It can't be done or it could be done but would be slow and require precise timing and an integral knowledge of each function call in DOS and the equivalent call in UNIX. I've given up on the idea...But it's still one of those things that will always be on my wishlist (I have 8 serial ports imagine all the possibilities for this old 3B2).

I was wondering if I could run the Baycom TNC on my DOS machine and my regular PK-88 thru my UNIX box and network the two together some way using a slip connection???
Any ideas would be appreciated.
Tim Kd40FO
TGOODIN@DELPHI.COM

End of Ham-Digital Digest V94 #139
